## Subject : Implementation of Career Advancement Scheme for the Teachers and Other Academic Staff in Technical Institutions.

Whereas, the All India Council For Technical Education published Regulations, namely Pay Scales, Service Conditions and Qualifications for the Teachers and other Academic Staff in Technical Institutions (Degree) Regulations, 2010, vide Notification F.No. 37-3/Legal/2010, dated $5^{\text {th }}$ March, 2010, in the Gazette of India, Part-III - Section 4, dated $13^{\text {th }}$ March, 2010.

## AND

Whereas, the Government of Maharashtra in Higher \& Technical Education Department, Mumbai, has issued Government Resolution No. SPC-2010/(34/10)/TE, dated $20^{\text {th }}$ August, 2010, regarding Revision of Pay Scales of teachers and equivalent cadre in Degree / Diploma Level Technical Education as per AICTE Scheme ( $6^{\text {th }}$ Pay Commission).......Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Technological University, Lonare, University Departments, All University Affiliated Government and Non Government Aided Colleges \& MSBTE affiliated Government and Non Government Aided Polytechnics conducting Professional Degree / Diploma Courses such as Engineering, Pharmacy, Architecture, Management etc.

## AND

Whereas, this university has implemented above AICTE Regulations, 2010, dated $5^{\text {th }}$ March, 2010 and Government Resolution dated $20^{\text {th }}$ August, 2010, vide Direction No. 63 / 2010, dated 20-10-2010.

## AND

Whereas, All India Council For Technical Education, New Delhi, has issued 'All India Council For Technical Education (Career Advancement Scheme for the Teachers and other Academic Staff in Technical Institutions) (Degree) Regulations, 2012', published vide Notification F.No. 37-3/Legal/AICTE/2012, dated $8^{\text {th }}$ November, 2012, in the Part-III - Sec. 4 of Extraordinary Gazette of India.

## AND

Whereas, University has to initiate the action to frame suitable Statute, under the provisions of Section 51 of the Maharashtra Universities Act, 1994, to implement the above mentioned 'All India Council For Technical Education (Career Advancement Scheme for the Teachers and other Academic Staff in Technical Institutions) (Degree) Regulations, 2012’.

## AND

Whereas, the matter is of urgent nature and framing of Statute is likely to take some time, it is necessary to issue direction in this regard.

Therefore, to implement the 'All India Council For Technical Education (Career Advancement Scheme for the Teachers and other Academic Staff in Technical Institutions) (Degree) Regulations, 2012', published vide Notification F.No. 37-3/Legal/AICTE/2012, dated $8^{\text {th }}$ November, 2012, in the Part-III - Sec. 4 of Extraordinary Gazette of India, I, Dr. Mohan Khedkar, Vice-Chancellor of Sant Gadge Baba Amravati University, under powers vested in me vide Section 14(8) of the Maharashtra Universities Act, 1994, hereby issue the following directions.

1. This direction shall be known as 'Implementation of Career Advancement Scheme for the Teachers and other Academic Staff in Technical Institutions, Direction, 2013.’
2. This direction shall come into force from the date of its issuance.

Contd......

## - 2 -

3. In this direction, unless the context otherwise requires;
'AICTE Regulations’ means 'All India Council For Technical Education (Career Advancement Scheme for the Teachers and other Academic Staff in Technical Institutions) (Degree) Regulations, 2012', published vide Notification F.No. 37-3/Legal/AICTE/2012, dated $8^{\text {th }}$ November, 2012, in the Part-III - Sec. 4 of Extraordinary Gazette of India, hereinafter referred to as AICTE Regulations and enclosed herewith as Annexure - A.

Place : Amravati.
Date : 8/10/2013

Sd/(Dr. Mohan Khedkar) Vice-Chancellor

# ALL INDIA COUNCIL FOR TECHNICAL EDICATION <br> NOTIFICATION 

New Delhi, the 8 movember, 2012

All India Council for Technical Education (Carcer Advancement Scheme for the Teachers and uther Academic Staff in Technical Institutions) (Degrec) Reguiations, 2012
F. No. 37-3/Legal/AICTE/2012.--in exercse of its powers contered under sub-section (t) of Section 23 read with Section [Ot $i$ ) and (v) of the All India Conncil for Technical 「.ducation Act, 1987 ( 52 of 1987), the All India Council for Technical Education makes the following Regulations:

|  | 1.1 | These Regulations may be called the All India Council for Technical Education (Carecr Advancoment Schemc for the 'Teachers and Other Academic Staff in Technical Institutians) (Degree) Regulations, 2012. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.2 | They shall apply to all technical institutians approved by the AICTE and Universitics including Institution decmed ta be Universities imparting technical education and such ather courses/Programs and arcas as notified by the AICTE from time to time. |
|  | 1.3 | They shall come inta force with effect from the date of their publication in the Official Gazette. <br> Provided that in the event, any candidate becomes eligihle for promotion under Career Advancement Scheme in terms of these Regulations on or aftcr $5^{\text {th }}$ March, 20:0, the promotion of such a candidate shall be govemed by the provisions of these Regulations. |
| 2. | CAREER ADVANCEMENT SCHEME |  |
|  | 2.1 | A teacher who wishes ta be cansidered far promotion under CAS may submit in writing to the University / Coltege, within three months in advance of the due date, that he / she fulfits all qualifications under CAS and submit to the University/ Colicge the Performance Based Appraisal System (PBAS) in a praforma as evolved by the concerned University / College duly supported by all credentials as per the Academic Performance Indicator (API) guidelines (Appendix l) set out in these Regulations. |
|  | 2.2 | In order to avoid delays in holding Selection Committee meetings in various positions under CAS, the University ; College should immediately initale the |



|  |  |  | [ii] | Two subject experts in the concemed subjeet nominated by the Vice Chancelfor or Acting Vice Chancellor from the University panel of experts. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | [3] |  | Government Government Aided/ Goverament Autonomous ges : |
|  |  |  |  | As may be prescribed by the respective State Govermments/ Board of Govemers |
|  | 2.7 | The quorum tor these committees in both categories mentioned above shall be three including one subject expert / University nominee reed to be present. |  |  |
|  | 2.8 | The Sereening-cum-Evaluation Comnitlee on verification / evaluation of API score secured by the candidate through the 'PBAS' methodology designed by the respective University / Directorate of Technical Education based on these Regulations and as per the minimum requirement specified in Tables Il and III of Appendix 1 for each of the cadre of Assistant Professor, shall reeommend to the Syndicate / Executive Council / Board of Management of the University / College about the suitability for the promotion of the candidate(s) under CAS for implementation. |  |  |
|  | 2.9 | All the selection prucedures outlined above, shall be completed on the day of the selection committee meeting, wherein the minutes are recorded along with PBAS scoring proforma and recommendation made on the basis of merit and duly signed by all members of the selection committee in the minutes. |  |  |
|  | 2.10 | CAS promotion, being a personal promotion to the incumbent teacher holding a substantive sanctioned post on superannuation of the individual incumbent, the said post shall revert to its original cadre. |  |  |
|  | 2.11 | The incumbent tcacher must be on the roll and active service of the Universities/ Colleges on the date of consideration by the Selection Committee for Selection $f$ CAS Promotion. |  |  |
|  | 2.12 | Candidates shall offer themselves for assessment for promotion, if they fulfil the minimurn APl scores indicated in the appropriate API system tables by submitting an application and the required PBAS proforma. They can do su three months before the due date of the promotion if they consider themselves eligible. In any event, the University / College concemed shall send a general cireular twice a year calling for applieations for CAS promotions from eligible candidates. |  |  |
|  | 2.13 | In the final assessment, if the eandidates do not cither fulfill the minimum API scores in the criteria as per PBAS proforma or obtain less than $50 \%$ in expert assessment, wherever applicable, such candidates wil] be reassessed only after a minimum period of one year. |  |  |
|  | 2.14 | If a candidate applies for promotion immediately on completion of the minimum eligibility period and is successtill, the date of promotion will be made applicable |  |  |


|  |  | from the date of completion of minimum period of eligibility, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2.15 | If, however, the candidate finds that he / she fulfils the eligibility conditions at a later date and appies on that date and is successful, his/her promotion will be effected from the date of application. |
|  | 2.16 | If the candidate does not succeed in the first assessment, but succeeds in the subsequent assessment, his / her promotion will be deemed to be from the later date af successful assessment. |
| 3. | STAGES OF PROMOTION UNDER CAREER ADVANCEMENT SCHEME OF INCUMBENT AND NEWLY APPOINTED ASSISTANT PROFESSORS /ASSOCIATE PROFESSORS/PROFESSORS: |  |

: 3.1 Fntry level assistant Professors (Stage 1) would be eligible for promotion under the Career Advancement Scheme (CAS) through two successive stages (stage 2 and Stage 3), provided they are assessed to fulfill the eligibility and performance eriteria as laid down in next clause.
3.2 In order to remedy the difficulties of collecting retruspective information and to facilitate the implementation of these Regulations from $5^{\text {th }}$ March, 2010 in the CAS Promotion, the API based PBAS will be progressively and prospectively rolled out. Accordingly, the PBAS based on the API scores of categories $]$ and il as mentioned in the tables of Appendix ! is to be implemented for one year, initially based on the existing systems in Universitics / Colleges for one year only with the minimum annual scores as depicted in Table II (a) and II (b) for University and College teachers. This annualized API scores can then be compounded progressively as and when the teacher becomes eligible for CAS promotion to the next cadre. Thus, if a teacher is considered for CAS promotion in 2013, one year API scores for 2012-13 alone will be requifed for assessment. in case of a teacher heing considered for CAS promotion in 2014, two years average of API seores for these categories will be required for assessment and so on leading progressivety for the complete assessment period.
$\square 3.3$ Assistant Professor, possessing Ph. D Degree in the relevant discipline shall be eligible, for moving to the next higher grade of Rs. 7000 (stage 2) after completion of four years service as Assistant Professor.
3.4 Assistant Professor possessing M . Phil Dcgrec or a Post-Graduate Degree in professional courses, approved by the relevant statutory body, shali he eligible for the next higher grade of ks. 7001 (stage 2) after completion of five years service as Assistant Professor.

|  | 3.5 | Assistant Professor who does not have Ph.D. or M.Phil or a Master's Degree in the <br> relevant professional course, shall be eligible for the next higher grade of Rs. 7000 <br> (stage 2) only afler completion of six years service as Assistant Professor. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3.6 | The upward movement from the entry level grade (stage i) to the next higher gradc <br> of Rs.7000 (stage 2) for all Assistant Professors shall be subject to their satisfying |


|  |  | the API based PBAS conditions laid down hy the AICTE in this Regulaton. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3.7 | Assistant Professor who has completed five years of service in the grade of Rs. 7000 (stage 2) shall be eligible, subjeet to meeting the API based PBAS requirements laid down by these Regulations, to move up to next higher grade of Rs. 8000 (stage 3). |
|  | 3.8 | Assistant Professor completing three years of teaching in the grade of Rs. 8000 (stage 3) shall be eligible, subject to the qualifying conditions and the API based PBAS requirements prescribed by these Regulations, to move to the Pay Band of Rs. $37400-67000$ with next higher grade of Rs. 9000 (stage 4) and to be designated as Associate Professor. However those joining the Service after $5^{\text {th }}$ March 2010 shall have also eamed Ph . D in addition to above mentioned requirements to move to the stage 4 . |
|  | 3.9 | Associate Professur completing threc years of service in stage 4 and possessing a Ph.D. Degree in the relevant diseipline shall be eligible th he appointed and designated as Professin and be placed in the next higher grade of Rs. 10000 (stage 5) subject to following : |
|  |  | (a) satisfying the required credit poinls as per API based PBAS requirements as provided in Tables of Appendix 1; and |
|  |  | (b)an assessment by a duly constituted selection committee as suggested for the <br> direct recruitment of Professor. <br> Provided that no teacher other than those with a Ph.I) shall be promoted nr <br> appointed as Professor. |
| 4. | ```SELECTION COMMIITEES AND GUIDELINESS ON SELECTION PROCEDURES``` |  |
|  | The AlCTE has evolved frilowing guidetines on : |  |
|  | (a) | Constifution of Selection Committecs for selcction of Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, Professor for direet recnuitment and under CAS |
|  | (b) | Specified selection procedures for direet recruitment and Career Advancement Schemc Regulations for Teachers in Universities and Collegcs. However, for other academic staff in Universities / Colleges, UGC guidelines of 30.6 .2009 and any amendments / corrigendum / clarifications issued subsequently by UGC be intlowed. |
| 5 | SELECTION COMMITTEE SPECIFICATIONS : |  |
|  | 5.1 | Assistant Professor in the University : |
|  |  | have the frllowing composition: |



|  | 5.3 | Professor in the University : |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | The composition of the Selection Committee for the post of Professor in the University shail be similar in composition as that for the post of Associate Professor set out in above clause. |
|  | 5.4 | Assistant Professor in Colleges ineluding Private Conleges : |
|  |  | The Selection Committee for the post of Assistant Prefessor in Coileges includitg Private Colieges shail have the following composition: |
|  |  | i. Chairperson of the Goveming Body of the College or his/her neminee from |



|  | the above members of the selection committee does not belong to that category. |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | The quorum for the mecting should be five of which at feast two must be from out of the three subject-experts. <br> For all levels of tcaching positions in for Government / Govemment aided Govertment autonomous Cotteges, the State Public Service, Commissions/ Teacher Recruitment Boards musi invite three subject experts for which the concemed University, be involved in the selection process by respective appointing authority. <br> For alf levels of teaching positions in Constituent College(s) of a University, the selcetion committec norms shali be simitar to that of the posts of departments of the University. |
| 5.6 | Professor in the Colteges inctading Privxte Colfeges: |

The composition of the Scicction Committee for the post of Professor in the Colleges inciuding private Colleges shan be simitar in composition as that for the post of Associate Profcssor set out in the above clause.

For als levels of teachitg positions in For Govemment / Government aided / Govemment autonomous Colleges, the State Public Services, Commissions / Tcacher Recruitment Boards must invite thrce subject experts for which the concemed University, be involved in the sclection process by respective appointing authority.

For all levels of teaching positions in Constituent College(s) of a University, the selection committec norms shall be similar to that of the posts of departments of the University.

| 5.7 | College Principal/Di rector: <br> composition : |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |




#### Abstract

An academician representing $\mathrm{SC} / \mathrm{ST}$ / OBC" / Minority / Woner / Differently-abled categories, if any of candidates representing these categorics is the applicant, to be nominated hy the Vice Chancellor, if any of the above members of the selection committee does not belong to that category.


To constitute the quorum for the meeting, five of which at least two must be from out of the three subject-experts shall be present.

All the selection procedures of the selection committee shatl he compieted on the day of the selection committee meeting itself, wherein, minutes are recorded along with the scoring proforma and recommendation made on the basis of merit with the list of selected and waitisted candidates'Panel of names in order of merit. duls signed by all memhers of the selection conmittee.

The term of appointment of the College Principal/Director shall be FIVE years with eligibility fur reappointment for one more term only after a similar selection committee process.

(a) The essential qualifications of the post held were not fower than the qualifications prescribed by the AICTE for Assistant Professor, Associate Professor and Professor as the case may be.
(b) The post is/was in an equivalent grade or of the pre-revised seate of pay as the post of Assistant Protessor (Lceturer), Associate Professor (Rcader) and Professor.



Dr. K. P. iSnAt:. Mbomber-secy:

## CATEGDRY I : TEACHING, LEARNING AND EVALUATION RELATED ACTIVITIES

Brief Explanation : Based on the teacher's self-assessment, ApI scores are proposed for (a) teaching related activities; (b) domain knowledge; (c) participation in examination and evaluation; (d) contribution to innovative teaching, new courses, etc. The minimum API score required by teachers from this category is 75 . The self-a sxessment score should be based on iohjectively verifiable criteria wherever possible and will be finalized hy the screeningiselection committee.

| SI. No. | Nature of Activity | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Lectures, seminars, tutorials, practicals, contact hours undertaken as percentage of lecturcs allocated ${ }^{3}$ | 50 |
| 2 | Lectures or other teaching dutics in cxcess of the AlC TTE norms | 10 |
| 3 | Preparation and imparting of knowledgefinstruction as per curriculum; syllahus enrichment by providing atditional resources to students | 20 |
| 4 | Use of participatory and innovative teaching-learning methodologics; updating of subject conuent, course improvement , ctc . | 20 |



## CATEGORY II : CO-CURRICULAR, EXTENSION AND PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT RELATED ACTIVITIES.

Brief Explanation: Based on the teacher's self-assessment, category II API scores are proposed for co-curieular and extension activities; and Professional development related contributions. The minimum API required by teachers for etigibility fin promotion is 15 . A list of items and proposed scores is given betow. it will be noticed that all teachers can eam scores from a number of items, whereas some activities with be carried out only by one or a few teachers. The list of activitics is broad enough for the minimum API score required (15) in this category to aecrue to all teachers. As before, the self-asscssment score should be based on objectively verifiable criteria and will be finalized hy the screening/selection committee.

The model table below gives groups of activities and API seores. Universities may detail the activitics or, in case institutianal specificities require, adjust the weightages, without changing the minimum total API scares required under this category.

| S1. No. | Nature of Aetivity | Maximum Scare |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | \$iudent related co-curticular, extension and field based activities (such as extension work through NSS/NCC and other channels, cultural activities, subject related events, advisement and counseling). | 20 |
| 2 | Contribution to Corporate life and management of the department and institution through participation in academic and administrative committees and responsibilities. | 15 |
| 3 | Professional Development activitics (such as participation in seminars, conferences, short term training eourses, talks, lectures, membership of associations, dissentination and general artictes, not covered in Category II below): | 15 |
|  | Total Seore | 50 |
|  | Minimum API Seore Required | 15 |

## CATEGORY- III : RESEARCH AND ACADEMIC CONTRIBUTIONS

Brief Explaoation: Based on the teacher's selfassessment, APl seores are proposed for research and academic contributions. The minimum API score required by teachers from this category is different for different levels of promotion and between University and Colleges. The self-assessment score will be based nn verifiahle criteria and will be finalized by the screening/selection committee.

| SI. No. | APls | Enginecring | Facultics of <br> Laaguages  <br> Humonities Social <br> Sciences  | Mox. points For University and College |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



|  |  | ISBN/LSSN numbers and with numbers of national and international directorics | directnries |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| III (C) | RESEARCH PROJECTS |  |  |  |
| III (C) (i) | Sponsored Projects carried out/ongoing | Major Projects amount mobilized with grants above Rs. 30.0 akh |  | 20 feach <br> Project  |
|  |  | Major Prgjects amount mobilized with grants above Rs. 5.0 lakhs up to Rs. 30.00 lakh | Major Projects Amount mobisized with minimum of Rs. 3.00 lakh up to Rs. 5.00 lakh | 15 Project |
|  |  | Minor Projecti (Amount mnbilized with grants above Rs. 50,000 up to Rs. 5 lakh) | Minor Projects (Amount mobilized with grants abnve Rs. $25,000 \mathrm{up}$ to Rs. 3 takh) | 10/each Project |
| III (C) (ii) | Consultancy Projects carried out / ongoint | Amount mobisized with minimum of Rs. 3.00 lakh | Amount mobilized with minimum of Rs. 1.0 iakh | 10 per every Rs. 3.0 takh and Rs. 1.0 takh Respectivety |
| III (C) (iii) | Completed projects Quality Evaluation | Completed project Report (Acceptance from funding agency) | Completed project report (Accepted by funding agency) | 20 /each major project <br> 10 / each minor Project |
| III (C) (iv) | Projects Outcome Outputs | Majnr policy document of Gov. Bodies at Central and State leve! | Major Policy document of Goyt. Bodies at Central and State level | $30 \quad /$ each <br> national levet <br> output or <br> patent  |
|  |  | Patcnt $/$ Technology <br> transfet $/$ Product $/$ <br> Process  | Patent/ Technology transfer/ Producd Process | 50 \%ach for Intemational level |
| III (D) | RESEARCH GU | IDANCE |  |  |
| III (D) (i) | M. Phil./ MF/ M.Tech | Degree awarded only | Degrec awarded only only | $\begin{array}{lc} \hline 3 & 1 \\ \text { candidate } \end{array} \text { each }$ |


would be augmented as follows: (i) indexed journals - by 5 points; (it) papers with impact factor between I and 2 by 10 points; (iii) papers with impact factor between 2 and 5 by 15 points; (iv) papers with impact factor between 5 and 10 by 25 points.

If a paper Presented in Conference/Seminar is published in the form of Proceedings, the points would accrue for the publication (III (a)) and not under presentation (III (e)(ii)).

Notes: 1. It is ineumbent on the Coordination Committee proposed in these Regulations and the University to prepare and publieize within six months subject-wise lists of joumals, periodicals and puhlishers under eategnries IIIA and B. Titl such time, screening/selection committees will assess and verify the eategnrization and scores of publications.
2. The API for joint publications will have to be ealeulated in the following manner: Of the total score for the relevant category of publication by the eoneerted teacher, the first/Principal author and the eorresponding author/supervisor/mentor of the teacher would share equally total score, if the number of authors are more, then the first two authors would share equally $60 \%$ of the total points and the remaining authors whuld share equally $40 \%$ of the points.

| MINIMUM APIS AS PROVIDED IN TABLE I |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TO BE APPLIED FOR THF PROMOTIDN OF TEACHERS UNDER CAREER ADVANCEMENT SCHEME (CAS) IN UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENTS, AND WEIGHT AGES FOR EXPERT ASSESSMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Assistant <br> Professorf equivatent eadres: <br> (Stage I to Stoge <br> 2) | Assistant  <br> Professor/  <br> equivalent  <br> cadres:  <br> (Stage 2  <br> Stage 3)  <br>   <br>   <br>   | Assistant <br> Professor <br> (Stage 3) to <br> Associate <br> Professor/ <br> equivalent <br> cadres <br> (Stage 4) | Assoejate <br> Professor <br> (Stage 4) to <br> Professor/ cquivalent eadres (Stage 5) | Professor (Stage 5) to Professor (Stage <br> 6) |
| I | Teachingleaming. <br> Evaluation Related Activities (category 1) | 75/Year | 75/Year | 75iYear | 75/Year | 75/Year |
| 11 | Co- <br> curricular, <br> Extension and <br> Profession <br> related <br> aetivities <br> (Categury <br> II) | $15 \mathrm{Ye} \text { ear }$ | 15/Year | 15/Year | 15/Year | 15/Year |



Note: For Universities for which Sixth PRC. Awards are applicable, Stages 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 correspond to scales with AGP of Rs. $6000,7000,8000,9000,10000$ and 12000 respectively

$$
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|  |  |  | BLE - Il (B) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MINIMUM APIS AS PROVIDED IN TABLE I |  |  |  |  |  |
| TO BE APPIIED FOR THE PROMOTION OF TEACHERS, IN COLLEGES (UG AND PG) UNDER CAREER ADVANCEMENT SCHEME (CAS) AND WEIGHTAGES FOR EXPERT ASSESSMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Assistant <br> Professarf <br> equivalent <br> eadres <br> Stage 1 to Stage 2: | Assistant <br> Professorf <br> equivalent <br> eadres: <br> Stage 2 to Stage 3 | Assistant Professor <br> (Stage 3) to Associate Professorf equivalent eadre (Stage 4) | Associate <br> Professor to <br> Pralessor <br> Pramotion io Calteges (Stage <br> 5) as per <br> assigned posts |
| ] | Teaching learming, Evaluation Related Aetivities (categnry 1) (Coent | 75/Year | 75/Year | 75/Year | 75/Year |
| 11 | Co-eursieular. <br> Extensitn and Profession related activities (Categnry II) | 15/Year | 15/Year | 15/Year | 15/Year |
| 111 | Minimum total average annual Score under Categories 1 and II* | 100/Year | 100/Year | 100/Year | 100/Year |
| IV | Researeh Andademie Crntributian (Category IIt) | 5/Year (20/assessment perind) | 10/Year (50/assessment period) | 15/Year (4)/assessment perind) | 20/Year (60/assessment perind) |
|  | Expert <br> Assessment <br> System | Scrcening Crimmittee | Screening Committee | Selection Committee | Selection Committee |
| V | Percentage Distributing of Weightage Points in the Exper Assessment (Total Weightage $=100$. | No separate points. Screening eomminee to verity APl scores | No separate points. Screening eommittee to verify API scores | $20 \%$ <br> Contribution to Research $60 \%$ Assessment of domain knowledge and teaching | $30 \%$ <br> Cintribution to Research. $50 \%$. Assessment of $d_{0}$ main knowledge and teaching |



3. Aceordingly, the PBAS based on the API scores of categories I and ll as mentioned in these tables is to be implemented for one year. intitially based on the existing systems in Liniversities / Colleges, if any for one year only with the minimum average scores as depicted in Tabie II (a) and II (h). This annualized API seores can then be compounded progressively as and when the teacher hecomes eligitle for CAS promotion to the next cadre. Thus, if a teacher is eligible for CAS promotion in 2011, one year API scores for 2009-10 atone will be required for assessment. In case of a teacher becoming for CAS promotion in 2012, two years average of API scores for these categories will be required for assessment and so on icading progressively for the complete atssessment period.
4. As shown in Table iI, the aggregate minimum API score required can be earned from any of the two broad categories, sabject to the minimum prescribed in each category. This will provide for due weightage to teachers who contribute additionally through any of the components given in Categories I and II, also for the differing rature of contributions possibic in different instiletional settings.
5.' For Category in (research and academie contrihutions), maintenatnce of past record is done on a normal basis by teachers and bence no difficulty is envistged in applying the API scores for this category for the entire assessment period. In this category, an aggregate minimum score is required for promotion over each stage. Alte matively, at taeher shouid acquire the required minimum aggregate score over two previous stages, taken together.
6. Candidates should offer themselves for assessment for promotion, if they fuifili the minimum API seores indicated in Tables I and Ii, by submitting an application and the required profoma. they ean do so three months before the due date. if they consider themselves eligible. Candidates who do not consider themselves eljgible, can also apply at a later date.

| 7. | ［f，however，on final assessment，candidates do not either fulfill the minnimum criteria under Rows III and IV of Tables II（A）and Ii（B）or obtain less than $50 \%$ in the exper assessment， they will be reassessed only after a minimum period of one year． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8. | a． | If a candidate applies for prnmotion on completion of the minimum cligibility period and is successful，the date nf prnmetion will be deemed to be the minimum period of eligibility． |
|  | b． | If however，the candidate finds that she／he fulfils the eligibility conditions at a later date and applics on that date and is successful，her／his promotion will be deemed to be from that date of application． |

c．If the candidate does not succeed in the first assessment，but suceeds in an eventual assessntent，her／his promotion will be deemed to be from the later date．

| Minmum Scores for APIs for direct recruitment of teachers in University departments／Colleges，and weightages in Selection Committees to be considered along with other specified eligibility qualifications stipulated ia the Regulations． |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Assistant Professor／ equivalent cadres（Stage 1） |  | Professor／equivalen 1 cadres（Stage 5） |
| Minimutn API Scotes | Minimum Qualification as stipulated in these Reguiations | Consolidated API scure requirement nf 300 points from category liI of APIs | Consolidated API score requirement of 400 points from category III of APIs |
| Selection <br> Committee <br> criteria／ <br> weightages <br> （Total <br> Weightages $=$ <br> 100） | a）Academic Record and Research Performance （ $50 \%$ ） <br> b）Assessment <br> I Yomaio Knowledge and Teaching Skills （30\％） <br> c）Intcrview performance（ $20 \%$ ） | a）Acadernic <br> Background（20\％） <br> b）Research performance based on APl score and quality of publications （ $40 \%$ ）． <br> c）Assessment of Domain Knowledge and Teaching Skills （20\％） <br> d）Interview performance ：（20\％） | a）Academic <br> Background（20\％） <br> b）Research performance based nn APl seore and quality of publications $40 \%$ ）． <br> c）Assessment Domain Knowledge and Teaching Skills （ $20 \%$ ） <br> d）Interview performance ：（ $20 \%$ ） |
| Note ：For Universities／Colleges for which Sixth PRC A wards arc applicable，Stages 1,4 and 5 correspond to seales with AGP of Rs． 6000,9000 and 10000 respectively． |  |  |  |


SI. : Promotion of Service requitement Min $\overline{\text { nimum Academic Peric }}$

| SI. | Promotion $r$ | of |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| No. | Teachers | through |
| CAS |  |  |



1 years of service with Ph.D. or tive years of service who are with M.Phil /PG Degree
itı
Professional Courses such as LLM,
M.Tech, or six years of service who are without Ph.D M. Phil (PGi Degree in Professional Courses

Assistant Profcssor with completed
service of five ycars in Stage 2.


|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3. |  |  |
| Assistant | Professor | Assistant Professors |
| (Stage | 3) to |  |
| with threc years of |  |  |
| Associate | Professor |  |
| completed service in |  |  |

| Requirements and Screening/Sclection Criteria
(i) Minimum API scores using PBAS scoring proforma developed by the coneemed University as per the nomms provided in Table $\mathrm{II}(\mathrm{A}) / \mathrm{II}(\mathrm{B})$ of Appendix 1 .
(ii) Onc Orientation and one Refresher / Rescarch Methodology Course of $2 / 3$ weeks deration approved or conducted by AICTE / Central Govt. / Statc Govt. TTEQIP / CIIILP/ISTE/ NITTTR / HT ; DTE / SBTE / Eniversity, etc.
(iii)Scrcening cum Vcritication process for recommending promotion.
(i) Minimum API scores using the PBAS scoring proforma devcloped by the concerned Cniversity as per the norms provided in Table $1 \mathrm{l}(\mathrm{A}) / \mathrm{II}(\mathrm{B})$ of Appendix 1
(ii) One course i programme from among the categorics of refresher courses, methodolugy workshops, Training. Teaching Learning - Eyaluation Tcchnology Programmes, Suft \$kills development Programmes and Faculty Deyclopment Programmes of $2 / 3$ weck duration approved or conducted by AICTE / Central Govt. / State Govt. /IEQIP / Cllll P / ISTE/ NITTTR / ITI' / DTE / SBTE / University, etc.
(iii) Screening cum Verification process for recommending promotion.
(i) Minimum $\mathrm{A} P \mathrm{y}$ scores using the PBAS scoring profurma developed by the concemed University as per the norms

|  | (Stage 4) | Stage 3. | provided in Table IIA / II(B) of Appendix 1 . <br> (ii) At least three publications in the entire period as Assistant Professor (twelve ycars). However, in the case of College teachers, an exemption of one publication will be given to M. Phil. holders and an exemption of two publications will be given to Ph. D. holders. <br> (iii)One course / programme from among the categories of methodology workshops, Training, feachingI earning. Evaluation Techrology Programmes, Soft Skills development Programmes and Faculty Development Programmes of minimurn one week duration approved or conducted by AICTE/Central/Sate Gnvt / IEQIP / CIILP/ISTE/NIT-IR / IIT / DTE / SBTE/University, etc. <br> (iv) A selection committec process as stipulated in these Regulations and in Tables II(A) and II(B) of Apperdix 1 . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. | Associate Professor (Stage 4) Professor/ equivalent cadres (Stage 5) | Assaciate Professor with three ycars of completed service in Stage 4. | (i) Minimum ycarly /cumulative API scnres using the PBAS scoring prnforma developed by the concemed University as per the norms provided in Table $H(A) / I(B)$ nf Appendix 1 . Teachers may combine two assessment periods (in Stages 2 and 3) to achieve minimum API scores, if required. <br> (ii) A minimum of five publications since the period that the teacher is placed in Stage 3. <br> (iii) A seleetion comminee process as stipulated in this Regulation and in Tables II(A) and I(B) or Appendix : |
| 5. | Professor (Stage 5) to Profersor (Stage 6) | Professor with ten years of completed service (Universities only) | (i) Minimum yearly /cumulative API scores for the assessment period as per the norms provided in Table II(A) of Appendix : <br> (ii) Additional credentials are to be evidenced by: (a) postdoctoral research outputs of high standard; (b) awards / honours / recognitions / patents and IPR on products and processes devcloped / technology transfer achieved; and (c) |



I'ART A
(GENERALINFORMATION)


| Name of the Course/ Summer <br> Schoot | Place | Duration | Sponsoring Ageney |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## PART B.

## (ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE INDICATORS)

(Plase see detailed instructions of this PBAS proforma before filling out this section)

## CATEGORY 1

TEACHING, LEARNING AND EVALUATIN RELATED ACTIYITIES

| (i) | Lectures. Seminars, Tutnrials, Practicals, Contact Hours (give semester-wise details, where necessary) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{SI} . \\ \mathrm{N} \text { ' } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Coursc/Paper Level | Mode of teaching * | No. of classes No. of Classes  <br> per week conducted <br> altoted $\vdots$  | \% of classes practicals taken as per documented record |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

* Lecture (L), Seminar (S), Totorial (T), Practical (P). Contact Hours (C)

|  |  | API $\overline{\text { Score }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (a) | Classes Taken (max. 50 for $100 \% /$ performance \& Proportionate score upto <br> $80 \%$ performance, betow which no score may be given) |  |
| (h) | Teaching Load in excess of AlCTE norm (max. score: 10) |  |
| (ii) | Reading / Instructional material consulted and additional knawiedge resources provided to <br> students |  |



## CATEGORY II

CO-CURRICULAR, EXTENSION, PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT RFLATED ACHVITIES
Please mention your contribution to any of the following :

| Si. | Type of Activit: | Average Hrs. / Week | APi Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (i) Extension, Co-curicuiar \& field based activities |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Total (max : 20) |  |  |
|  | (ii) Contribution to Corporate Life and Management of the Institution | Yearly $/$ Semester wise responsibilities | API Score |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Total (max. : 1.5$)$ |  |  |
|  | (iii) Professional Development Activitics |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Total (max. : 15 ) |  |  |
| . | Total Score ( $\mathrm{j}+\mathrm{ji}+\mathrm{iii})($ max. : 25 ) |  |  |

CATEGORY III
(RESEARCH, PUBLICATIONS AND ACADEMIC CONTRIBUTIONS)

| A) Published Papers in Jounals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sl. | Title with | Journa! | ISSN/ISBN | Whether peer reviewed, impact | No. of co- | Whether you are the main | AP1 |



| No. | Page Nos. | Book \& Authorship | $\begin{gathered} \text { ISSN/TSBN } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | peer reviewed | coauthors | you are the main author | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

iii (C) Ongoing and Completed Research Projects and Consultancies
(c) (i\& ii) Ongoing Projects/Consuftancics

(c) (iii \& iv) Completed Projects / Consuttancies


「Fh. D. or equivalent
(E) (i) Training Courses, Teaching-Leaming-Evaluation Technology Programmes, Faculty Development Programmes (not less than onc week duration)

(E) (ii) Papers presented in Conferenecs. Seminars, Workshops, Sympasia


E(iii) invited I.ectures and Chairnanships at national or intemationat conferencesfeminar, etc.


$4266<1 / 12-10^{3}$



LIST DF ENCLOSLRES : (Please attach, enpies of cerrificales, sanction orders, papers, etc, wherever
 documents enclosed along with the duly filed PBAS proforma.

Signature of the faculty with
Designation, Place \& Date

Signature of $\mathrm{HO} / \mathrm{Sch}$ nol
Chairperson/Principal
N.B. : The Anrual Self-Assessment protoma duly filled along with all enclosures, submitted for CAS promntiters will be verified by the L'niversity/Colege and information filed with the IQAC.

## Instructions for Filing up Part B of the PBAS Proforma

Part B of the Proforma is based on the AICTE Regulations 2010. It is to be filled out for the recently completed academie year.

The proforma is to be filled as per thesc tables and self-assessment scores given. For each eategory, maximum scores that can be given or cartied forward is indicated in the Table.

The self-assessment scores are furthcr to be based on the indicatorsiactivities given below. Universities may modify the detailed indicators and related scores hased on their experience and requirement without ehanging the score requirements assigned to categories and sub-categories in Appendix III, Table 1.
N.B. : The self-assessment scores are subject to verification by the University/College, and by the Screening cum Verifieation Committee or Selection Committee as the case may be

| I | Tcaching and Evaluation Related Performance : |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Indicatnrs/Activities | Maximurn Score |
|  | (i) | (a) i/ectures/Practicats/Tutorials/Contact classes taken should be hased on veriffablic records. <br> No score should be assigned if a teaeher has taken less than (say) $80 \%$ assigned classes. Liniversities may give allowanee for periods of leave where altemative teaching arrangements would ordinarily be made. <br> Maximum seore if there is $100 \%$ achievements | 50 |
|  |  | (b) If teacher has taken elasses exceeding AICTE norm, then two point to bc assigned for each cxtra hour of elasses | 10 |
|  |  | Imparting of knowledgefinstruetion vis-a-vis with the prescribed material (Text book / Manual , etc.) and methodology of the curticulum ( $100 \%$ compliance $=20$ points) | 20 |
|  | (iii) | Use of Participatory and thnovative Teaching-Leaming Methodologie Subject Content, Course Improvement, etc. | Updating of |
|  |  | Updating of courses, dcsign of curiculum, (5-5ingle course) | 10 |
|  |  | Preparation of tesource material, fresh reading materials, laboratory manuals, ete. | 10 |
|  |  | Use of innovative teaching-jearning methodologies; ust of ICT; | 10 |

intcmal/continuous assessment work as alloted ( $100 \%$ compliance - .10 points)

Examination work such as coordinatimn. or flying squad duties, ctc. $\quad 10$ (maximum of 5 or 10 depending upon intensity of duty) ( $100 \%$ compliance $=10$ points)



